TEJAS Journal of Technologies and Humanitarian Science
ISSN-2583-5599
Vol.05, I.01 (2026)
https://www.tejasjournals.com/
https://doi.org/10.63920/ICFCSAI2025.005

The Transformative Role Of Artificial Intelligence in Shaping Future of Science Education

Dr. Harendra Nath Sharma[a] and Jayant Saraswat[b]
[a]Department of Zoology, Shri Varshney College, Aligarh
[b]Institute of Basic Science, Swami Vivekanand Campus, Khandari, Agra

KEYWORDS

AI, Science education, ICT tools.

ABSTRACT

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is rapidly emerging as a catalyst for innovation in science education, transforming how knowledge is delivered, accessed, and applied. The integration of AI tools into teaching and learning processes has the potential to redefine educational paradigms by personalizing learning experiences, enhancing research capabilities, and fostering critical thinking and creativity among students. This paper examines the transformative role of AI in shaping the future of science education, highlighting both opportunities and challenges. AI- powered adaptive learning platforms can analyze learner behavior, identify knowledge gaps, and provide customized content that aligns with individual learning styles. Virtual laboratories and intelligent simulations allow students to experiment with complex scientific phenomena in safe, cost-effective, and scalable environments, thereby promoting experiential learning beyond traditional classrooms. Moreover, natural language processing tools and generative AI models are enabling interactive tutoring systems that provide real-time feedback, assist in problem-solving, and support collaborative learning across geographical boundaries.

1. Introduction

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is rapidly moving from experimental pilots into mainstream practice across education systems. In science education—where inquiry, modeling, experimentation, and data interpretation are central—AI promises to deepen personalization, expand access to laboratory-like experiences, and accelerate research skill development. At the same time, AI raises challenges in ethics, equity, assessment integrity, teacher professional development, and governance. This review synthesizes contemporary evidence and policy guidance on AI's applications to science education, describes prominent technologies (adaptive systems,

intelligent tutoring systems, virtual labs, automated assessment, and generative tools), highlights benefit and risks, and identifies open research and policy priorities. Drawing on systematic reviews, international policy reports, and recent empirical studies, we argue that AI's transformative potential for science education is greatest when it augments human teaching, supports active inquiry, and is deployed with explicit safeguards for fairness, privacy, and pedagogical alignment. Finally, we propose a research-and-practice agenda to ensure AI helps build equitable, explainable, and inquiry-rich science education for the coming decade.

Corresponding Author Dr. Harendra Nath Sharma, Institute of Basic Science, Swami Vivekanand Campus, Khandari, Agra.
Email: drhnsharma2015@gmail.com

TEJAS Journal of Technologies and Humanitarian Science
ISSN-2583-5599
Vol.05, I.01 (2026)
https://www.tejasjournals.com/
https://doi.org/10.63920/ICFCSAI2025.005

Science education aims not merely to transmit facts but to cultivate scientific reasoning, experimental design, argumentation from evidence, and data literacy. Advances in AI— particularly in machine learning, natural language processing (NLP), and simulation—offer tools that can assist learners and teachers on each of these fronts. AI systems can adapt instruction to the learner, provide immediate tailored feedback, simulate experiments at multiple scales, and analyze complex student data to surface misconceptions. Yet, the adoption of AI in education is not purely technological; it involves pedagogical redesign, teacher development, data governance, and policy frameworks to mitigate harms such as bias, privacy violations, and widening inequities. This review examines the state of knowledge (late 2020s) about AI in science education, focusing on both evidence of educational impact and normative considerations for deployment.

2. Scope and Methodology of This Review

This review synthesizes peer-reviewed literature, systematic reviews, and major policy reports published between 2018 and 2025. We prioritized (a) systematic reviews and meta- analyses of AI-enabled learning systems, (b) empirical studies of AI applications in K–12 and tertiary science education, and (c) international policy guidance documents that outline ethical and governance frameworks. Key sources included systematic literature syntheses on AI in education, major agency reports (UNESCO, OECD, U.S. Department of Education), and recent empirical work on intelligent tutoring systems (ITS) and adaptive platforms. Where possible we referenced up-to-date syntheses that summarize effect sizes and best practices. (Examples of these sources are cited throughout this paper.)

3. Principal AI Technologies Relevant to Science Education

Adaptive learning systems

Adaptive learning platforms use data on learner responses to modify content sequencing, difficulty, and hints for individual students. In science education, such systems can diagnose misconceptions (e.g., force and motion, chemical equilibrium), scaffold inquiry sequences, and present targeted tasks that build toward conceptual understanding. Meta-analytic evidence and systematic reviews indicate measurable learning gains associated with AI- enabled adaptive systems—especially when they provide timely feedback and are integrated with robust pedagogical design.

Intelligent Tutoring Systems (ITS)

ITS apply student modeling, cognitive diagnosis, and stepwise feedback to guide problem solving. For domains requiring procedural knowledge (e.g., physics problem solving, math in life sciences), ITS can emulate one-on-one tutoring by offering graduated hints, error diagnosis, and worked examples. Recent reviews find ITS produce positive effects on achievement when deployed with sufficient fidelity and when human teachers use ITS analytics to inform instruction. Newer ITS integrate multimodal inputs (e.g., student drawings, lab data) and offer automated formative assessment in lab-report writing and data interpretation tasks.

Virtual laboratories

Virtual labs powered by AI-driven simulation engines enable students to run experiments that would otherwise be infeasible due to cost, safety, or time. These environments allow repeated trials, parameter sweeps, and visualization at molecular to planetary scales— supporting hypothesis testing and systems thinking. Generative models and physics-informed learning can dynamically create scenarios and provide adaptive scaffolds that adjust experimental complexity to learner readiness.

Natural language tools and generative AI

NLP and large language models (LLMs) facilitate conversational tutoring, automated explanations, and scaffolded scientific writing. They can summarize literature, generate experimental prompts, and provide stepwise reasoning guides. However, the non- deterministic nature of generative AI, occasional hallucinations, and opacity of internal reasoning present reliability challenges for high-stakes pedagogical tasks. AI enables rapid scoring of structured responses, code for computational experiments, and even aspects of open-ended lab reports using rubric-aligned algorithms. Learning analytics dashboards can surface common errors, engagement patterns, and conceptual gaps at class and individual levels—if teachers are trained to interpret and act on these insights.

TEJAS Journal of Technologies and Humanitarian Science
ISSN-2583-5599
Vol.05, I.01 (2026)
https://www.tejasjournals.com/
https://doi.org/10.63920/ICFCSAI2025.005

4. Impact in Science Education

Learning outcomes and Development of inquiry skills

Controlled studies and meta-analyses report that adaptive systems and ITS often produce small-to-moderate positive effects on achievement and problem-solving in STEM disciplines, particularly when systems complement human instruction rather than replace it. Gains are most robust for targeted practice, problem-solving skill acquisition, and procedural fluency. Virtual labs and data-analysis assistants can accelerate students' ability to design experiments, analyze datasets, and interpret results. Early evidence suggests students using well-designed virtual labs perform comparably to peers in physical labs on conceptual assessments, and sometimes better on repeated experimental variation tasks because virtual setups allow more iterations. AI tools can reduce administrative burdens—automating grading of routine assignments and generating individualized practice—which frees teachers for higher-order tasks: mentoring, lab supervision, and facilitation of discourse. Policymaker reports emphasize AI's potential to reduce workload if tools are trusted and interoperable with school systems.

Ethical, Equity, And Assessment Integrity Challenges

AI models reflect the data on which they are trained. If training sets underrepresent certain student populations or linguistic registers, AI recommendations and assessments may disadvantage those groups. Ensuring fairness requires bias audits, diverse data, and routine evaluation of model outputs relative to demographic and contextual factors. International guidance calls for algorithmic transparency and inclusive design practices. AI in education relies heavily on student data (interaction logs, assessment artifacts, biometric or multimodal data in some systems). Safeguarding this data—through minimal-collection principles, clear consent mechanisms, and strict retention policies—is essential. UNESCO and OECD emphasize data governance frameworks and learner agency over personal data. Generative AI has lowered barriers to producing superficially polished lab reports, essays, and code. Institutions must re-think assessment design—favoring in-person demonstrations, oral examinations, project-based assessments with process logs, and scaffolded tasks that reveal student reasoning—to preserve authentic assessment. Policymakers and educators are debating detection tools, but detection alone is insufficient; assessment redesign to value process and situated performance is crucial. (Press coverage and policy briefs from 2023– 2024 document widespread institutional concern and exploratory policy responses.). AI's benefits accrue only when learners have devices, connectivity, and supportive learning ecosystems. Without targeted investments, AI-enabled curricula risk widening existing inequities. The OECD and UNESCO urge parallel infrastructure and capacity-building policies to avoid a two-tiered education system.

Teacher Roles And Professional Development of Students

AI should be framed as augmentative: supporting teachers to do more of what humans do best—facilitate inquiry, provide socio-emotional support, and interpret complex student work. Effective integration requires sustained professional development that is practice- based (not just one-off workshops), includes interpreting analytics, ethical use of AI, and co- designing AI-assisted lesson sequences. Policy reports recommend teacher involvement in procurement and design to ensure pedagogical fit and acceptance. Large-scale, responsible deployment of AI in education depends on coordinated governance: national standards for data privacy, procurement guidelines for edtech vendors, interoperability requirements, and evaluation frameworks for learning impact. UNESCO's guidance for policymakers and the OECD's Digital Education Outlook articulate guardrails and supportive policy levers—ranging from curriculum updates to funding for infrastructure and research. These documents emphasize multi-stakeholder governance, including teachers' unions, researchers, parents, and students. As virtual and physical labs produce multimodal data (video, sensor output, code), new methods are needed to interpret complex signals into actionable teaching cues.

5. Conclusion

AI holds substantial promise to enrich science education—personalizing learning pathways, widening access to experimental inquiry through simulations, and supporting the development of data and research literacies. The evidence base indicates positive effects for targeted applications (adaptive systems, ITS) when paired with sound

TEJAS Journal of Technologies and Humanitarian Science
ISSN-2583-5599
Vol.05, I.01 (2026)
https://www.tejasjournals.com/
https://doi.org/10.63920/ICFCSAI2025.005

pedagogy and teacher engagement. However, realizing AI's full potential requires careful attention to equity, ethics, assessment design, teacher professional learning, and robust governance frameworks. International guidance from UNESCO, OECD, and national education authorities provides a starting blueprint, but local implementation must be accompanied by rigorous evaluation and co-design with educators. If deployed thoughtfully—centering human judgment, transparency, and inclusion—AI can be a powerful ally in shaping a future science education that is inquiry-rich, accessible, and oriented toward real-world problem solving

5.References

[1]. Almasri, Firas, et al. (2024) Exploring the Impact of Artificial Intelligence in Teaching and Learning of Science: A Systematic Review of Empirical Research. Research in Science Education, Vol. 54, pp. 977-997,.

[2]. Ateş, H. (2024). Integrating augmented reality into intelligent tutoring systems to enhance science education outcomes. Education and Information Technologies. doi:10.1007/s10639-024-12970-y.

[3]. du Plooy, E., & Colleagues. (2024). Personalized adaptive learning in higher education: evidence and practice. Frontiers / Education (open access) — (see PubMed Central for article: PMCID: PMC11544060).

[4]. Guo, L., et al. (2021). Evolution and trends in intelligent tutoring systems research. [Open-access review]. (See PubMed Central for full text; discusses ITS trends and research directions.) — (PMCID: PMC8095475).

[5]. Heeg, Dagmar Mercedes, & Avraamidou, Lucy (2023). The use of Artificial Intelligence in School Science: A Systematic Literature Review. Educational Media International, Vol. 60, No. 2, pp. 125-150.

[6]. Kavitha, K., & Joshith, V. P. (2024) Pedagogical incorporation of artificial intelligence in K-12 science education: A decadal bibliometric mapping and systematic literature review (2013-2023). Journal of Pedagogical Research, Volume 8, Issue 4, pages 437-

[7]. 465,. DOI: 10.33902/JPR.202429218

[8]. Lampropoulos, G. (2025) Augmented Reality, Virtual Reality, and Intelligent Tutoring Systems in Education and Training: A Systematic Literature Review. Applied Sciences, Volume 15, Issue 6, Article 3223,. DOI:10.3390/app15063223

[9]. Létourneau, A., et al. (2025). A systematic review of AI-driven intelligent tutoring systems (ITS) in K-12 education. NPJ Science of Learning, 10(1), Article 29. doi:10.1038/s41539-025-00320-7.

[10]. Major, L., et al. (2021). Effectiveness of technology-supported personalised instruction: A meta-analysis. British Journal of Educational Technology, 52(4), pp.

[11]. VanLehn, K. (2011). The relative effectiveness of human tutoring, intelligent tutoring systems, and other tutoring systems. Educational Psychologist, 46(4), 197–221. doi:10.1080/00461520.2011.611369.

[12]. Villegas-Ch, W., Buenano-Fernandez, D., Maldonado Navarro, A., & Mera-Navarrete,

[13]. (2025). Adaptive intelligent tutoring systems for STEM education: Analysis of the learning impact and effectiveness of personalized feedback. Smart Learning Environments, 12, Article 41. doi:10.1186/s40561-025-00389-y.

[14]. Wang, S., Wang, F., Zhu, Z., Wang, J., Tran, T., & Du, Z. (2024). Artificial intelligence in education: A systematic literature review. Expert Systems with Applications, 252, Article 124167. doi:10.1016/j.eswa.2024.124167.

[15]. Wang, Shan; Wang, Fang; Zhu, Zhen; Wang, Jingxuan; Tran, Tam; Du, Zhao. Artificial Intelligence in Education: A Systematic Literature Review. Expert Systems with Applications, Volume 252, Article 124167, 2024.